

Approaching 300-GHz band Spectrum Analysis ~For 5G-Advanced and 6G

Hanako Noda

Advanced Research Laboratory Anritsu Corporation

Contents

- 1. R&D Background & Objectives
- 2. 300-GHz Band Spectrum Measurement System
	- Block Diagram
	- **Frequency Diagram**
- 3. Core Technology ~ Pre-selector
- 4. Evaluation Results
- 5. Effective Evaluation of Spectrum Measurement System in OTA Environment
- 6. Further mmWave Applications ~ 5G-Advanced, 6G
- 7. Conclusions

1. R&D Background & Objectives

R&D Background & Objectives

KIOSK download

Mobile Backhaul/Fronthaul

FCC Experimental Station License

Advances in mmWave communications applications

- ◼ 5G-Advanced expected to support larger-capacity communications than 5G by using frequencies higher than 100 GHz
- Reference: IEEE 802.15 Doc Number 14/0304r16 ◼ IEEE 802.15.3d-2017 standardized at 252 to 325 GHz for high-speed wireless multimedia networks (IEEE Standard for High Data Rate Wireless Multi-Media Networks-Amendment 2: 100 Gb/s Wireless Switched Point-to-Point Physical Layer was approved for use of 252 GHz to 325 GHz frequency band for mobile backhaul/fronthaul and point to point communication)
- WRC-19 added sharing of frequencies from the 275 to 450-GHz bands between land-mobile and fixed-service applications
- USA licenses experimental stations at 95 GHz to 2 THz to stimulate mmWave advances

Anticipating use of frequency bands >100 GHz

For frequency bands above 100 GHz, spurious measurement required to prevent system interference but faces following issues:

- **The spectrum measurement without a pre-selector results in the combination between unwanted signal responses from the test equipment and wanted signal responses from the actual signal.**
- **NOT possible to separate between unwanted and wanted responses.**
- **Suppressing unwanted responses requires switching the frequency relationship (Local/IF). Since conversion losses differ as a function of frequency, the preparation in the calibration data of conversion losses is necessary with complex procedures.**

Develop new pre-selector to configure 300-GHz spectrum analysis system

The wanted signal can be observed because the pre-selector reduces the unwanted signal response (image/multiple responses).

Inserting a pre-selector enables extraction of just the wanted signal.

Pre-selector Effect (Modulated Wave)

The need for a pre-selector is even greater when using modulated signals.

2. 300-GHz Band Spectrum Measurement System

- Block Diagram
- Frequency Diagram

300-GHz Spectrum System Performance Target

@ –15 dBm input

J-band Spectrum Analyzer Setup

Configured spectrum measurement system with built-in pre-selector for each G-, H-, and J-band

Synchronizing spectrum analyzer sweeping and pre-selector switching reduces unwanted responses.

Frequency down-conversion using sub-harmonic mixer

$$
F_{RF} \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{F_{LO}} F_{IF}
$$

$$
F_{LO} \boxed{F_{IF} = |F_{RF} - 2 \times F_{LO}|}
$$

Spurious generated by input signal and local signal harmonic distortion due to mixer (nonlinear device)

$$
IM(m,n) = m \times F_{RF} + n \times F_{LO}
$$

Suppressing unwanted response requires determining F_{RF} and F_{LO} relationship

3. Core Technology ~ Pre-selector

Core Technology ~ Pre-selector

Previous Method

Filter Bank Configured Using SPxT SW

Issues with previous method:

- **Large (= heavy)**
- **High insertion loss**

Two half-mirrors in waveguide form Fabry-Perot resonator

Resonator frequency changed by changing distance between two half-mirrors

100-GHz Band Implementation Example

- **3-dB bandwidth: 400 MHz**
- **Attenuation: >30 dB @ 10-GHz offset**
- **Insertion loss: <10 dB**

Excellent frequency selectivity and insertion loss performance

Core Technology ~ Pre-selector

Fabry-Perot method with good performance up to 140 GHz unsuitable for 300-GHz spectrum analyzer with large insertion loss

Core Technology ~ Pre-selector

Possible to reduce insertion loss using proposed filter-bank method

ANRITSU CORPORATION Approaching 300-GHz band Spectrum Analysis ~For 5G-Advanced and 6G **18 Issues: Drift in frequency domain and maintain attenuation in attenuation region**

4. Evaluation Results

The following items were evaluated after calibrating the level of the 300-GHz spectrum measurement system.

- \checkmark Spurious response
- ✓Display average noise level (DANL)
- \checkmark Third order intercept point (TOI)

Without Pre-selector With Pre-selector

Achieve spurious response of <–70 dBc across all frequencies (@ −15 dBm input and including image response)

Evaluation Results ~ DANL

Display average noise level (DANL)

DANL <−144 dBm/Hz Assure same performance as microwave SPA waveform

Evaluation Results ~ TOI

Third order intercept point (TOI)

(Span 0 Hz, ATT 0 dB, RBW 300 Hz)

Third Order Intercept Point: >+11 to +18 dBm @10 MHz detuning >+24 dBm @10 GHz detuning Assure same performance as microwave SPA waveform

5. Effective Evaluation of Spectrum Measurement System

in OTA Environment

Effective Evaluation of Spectrum Measurement System in OTA Environment

External View of Complete System

signals radiated from the DUT are measured by the spectrum measurement system through free space coupling

ANRITSU CORPORATION And The Second Approaching 300-GHz band Spectrum Analysis ~For 5G-Advanced and 6G **25**

Unified System Evaluation

The actual transmission loss Lm (found from antenna gain G^t ,Gr and Tx power) with reference gain horn antennas mounted at the signal sources and spectrum measurement systems is compared with the theoretical transmission loss L^t .

・Evaluated match between actual and theoretical transmission losses ・Evaluated continuity between each G-, H-, and J-band transmission loss frequency characteristics

Unified System Evaluation

(Transmission Loss Measurement Results)

ANRITSU CORPORATION And H-band and to 1.1 dB between H- and J-band Analysis 4 27 Suppressed difference in transmission loss to 0.3 dB between G-

Since there are differences in the transmission loss results between the G- and H-band, and the H- and J-band, we investigated the following causes of measurement-system uncertainty.

Assumed Error Factors

1. Measurement Environment Errors

Antenna setting error (distance)

Antenna setting error (elevation/azimuth)

Multi-reflection errors

- **2. Measurement Equipment Errors**
- **3. Antenna Gain Errors Results** (+ dB) (- dB) Combined standard uncertainty 0.41 0.76 Expanded uncertainty $(k = 2)$ 0.82 1.52

The validity of the unified system is confirmed because the previously described 0.3 dB difference between the G- and H-band is included in the combined standard uncertainty.

Unified System Evaluation (Radiated Pattern)

(a) G-band Measurement Results (140 to 190 GHz)

(c) J-band Measurement Results (255 to 315 GHz)

dips and asymmetry are observed due to TE20 mode generation. **At ≤230.7 GHz, the WR5 waveguide fundamental propagation mode is TE10 only and unidirectionality is maintained. However, at >230.7 GHz,**

6. Further mmWave Applications ~ 5G-Advanced, 6G

Towards 5G-Advanced

- Configured and evaluated new spectrum measurement system with inserted pre-selector.
- Configured OTA unified spectrum monitoring system combining G-, H-, and J-band spectrum measurement systems.
- Evaluated effectiveness of unified system and confirmed suitability of measurement system by measuring DUT radio-wave radiation and obtained expected results.

Anritsu is continuing with development of leading-edge technologies promoting 5G evolution to future 5G-Advanced.

Anritsu Advancing beyond

公知