





SonicWall vs WatchGuard – Virtual Firewalls

SonicWall STRENGTHS

- Hardware designed for performance
- Single Pass RF-DPI engine with SSL inspection and application control tied into each other
- Stronger, faster and more secure Security Services like IPS, GAV, Cloud
 AV and Capture Advanced Threat Prevention
- 100% IP Stream based GAV with low latency and no file size limitations on any port, no dependency on 3rd party signatures

WatchGuard WEAKNESSES

- Application control does not use the SSL inspection and vice versa
- Typical Application Proxy model with incredibly low file size limits
- Decent SD-WAN feature but lacking Application Based Routing and no path quality monitoring on VPN
- Very limited on board reporting and logging, traffic monitor is just syslog output on the screen
- HA with caveats and loss of features
- Inflated datasheet numbers, huge difference between fast and full scan

Watchguard	# Cores	SonicWall	SKU (AGSS)
FireboxV Small	2	NS <i>v</i> 200	01-SSC-5950
FireboxV Medium	4	NS <i>v</i> 300	01-SSC-5964
FireboxV Large	8	NS <i>v</i> 400	01-SSC-6084
FireboxV XLarge	16	NS <i>v</i> 800	01-SSC-6101

SonicWall POSITIONING

- Broad Product portfolio, Next-Gen FW, Email Security, Secure Access & Endpoint
- Award-Winning and third-party validated security solution, NSS Labs, ICSA
- In-House Threat Research Team, Capture Labs Threat Research Team
- Extensive product certifications, CC NDPP,FIPS 140-2,UC APL, ICSA Labs, & more

Feature Comparison	SONICWALL	WatchGuard
Full Configuration in both UI and Centralized Management	✓	×
Connection Limiting	✓	×
Application Based Routing	✓	×
Onboard Threat Reports	\checkmark	×
Real-Time Application Visualization	✓	×
SMB/CIFS Gateway AV Support	✓	×
Unlimited file size protection	✓	×
App Control on HTTPS	\checkmark	×
Cloud AV	✓	×
Multi Engine Sandbox	✓	×